## Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 DEC 2005

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

REC'D 1 1 MAY 2005

WIPO

PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 67787-75088	FOR FURTHER ACT	TON S	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018	International filing date (da 23.06.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 23.06.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G01C5/02	ational classification and IPC	·	
Applicant TRIMBLE AB et al.	1	. 4.1	
Authority under Article 35 and trail  2. This REPORT consists of a total	nsmitted to the applicant a of 6 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.	International Preliminary Examining
a. Sent to the applicant and t	n the International Burgar	u) a total of sheets a	s follows:
sheets of the descript and/or sheets contain	ion, claims and/or drawing ing rectifications authorize tions).	gs which have been ar ed by this Authority (se	nended and are the basis of this report se Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
beyond the disclosure	in the international appli	cation as filed, as muc	ders contain an amendment that goes cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b.   (sent to the International I sequence listing and/or ta Box Relating to Sequence			r of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental Instructions).
This report contains Indications r	elating to the following ite	oms:	
Box No. I Basis of the op	inion		
☐ Box No. II Priority			N
		d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity o	f invention		
applicability; cl	tations and explanations	) with regard to novelty supporting such states	/, inventive step or industrial · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum			
	s in the international appl		
Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internationa	а аррисатол	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report
20.10.2004		10.05.2005	
Name and mailing address of the internation	onal	Authorized Officer	. Josephine Prince .
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Dighaye, J-L	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52: Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	3656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2823

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018

_	Box No. I Basis of the report	
1.	filed, unless otherwise indicated unde	
	This report is based on translation which is the language of a translation	ns from the original language into the following language , ation furnished for the purposes of:
		application (under Hule 12.4) nination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	<ol> <li>With regard to the elements* of the i have been furnished to the receiving report as "originally filed" and are not</li> </ol>	nternational application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this annexed to this report):
	e e	
	Description, Pages	
	1-38 as c	riginally filed
	Claims, Numbers	·
	1-58 as	originally filed
	Drawings, Sheets	
		originally filed
	☐ a sequence listing and/or any re	lated table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3	3. ☐ The amendments have resulted	in the cancellation of:
	☐ the description, pages	
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the sequence listing (specify ☐ any table(s) related to seque	ence listing (specify):
4	had not been made, since they have Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	d as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
	<ul><li>the description, pages</li><li>the claims, Nos.</li></ul>	
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	An experiment
	☐ the sequence listing (specifing any table(s) related to sequence	ence listing (specify):
	* If item 4 applies, some	or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001018

Bo	ox No. IV Lack of unity of i	nvention						
. 🗆	In response to the invitation  ☐ restricted the claims.  ☐ paid additional fees.  ☐ paid additional fees und  ☐ neither restricted nor pa	to restrict er protest.	al fees.					
2. ⊠	Rule 68.1, not to invite the	applicant t	o restrict o	r pay addition	iai iees.			
3. Th	nis Authority considers that the	e requirem	ent of unity	of invention	in accordance	e with Rules	s 13.1, 13.2	and 13.3
	complied with.					3		•••
×	not complied with for the fo	llowing rea	asons:					
·	see separate sheet							
4. C	onsequently, this report has b	een estab	lished in re	spect of the f	following part	s of the inte	rnational app	olication:
×	l all parts.				•			
			er Article :	35(2) with re	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B	the parts relating to claims  Box No. V Reasoned state  pplicability; citations and ex	ment und	er Article : ns suppor	35(2) with re ting such sta	gard to nove atement	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
В ај 1. S	ox No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and ex	ment unde oplanation	er Article : ns support Claims Claims	35(2) with reting such sta	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
8 a 1. S N	lox No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement	ment undexplanation Yes:	Claims Claims	ing such sta	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B a <sub>1</sub> 1. S N	Box No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement Novelty (N)	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	1-58	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B all	Box No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement Novelty (N)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-58	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B all 1. S N Irr	Box No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement Novelty (N)  nventive step (IS)  ndustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-58	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B all 1. S N Irr	Box No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement Novelty (N)  nventive step (IS)  ndustrial applicability (IA)  Citations and explanations (Re	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-58	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria
B all 1. S N Irr	Box No. V Reasoned state pplicability; citations and extatement Novelty (N)  nventive step (IS)  ndustrial applicability (IA)  Citations and explanations (Re	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-58	gard to nove	elty, inventi	ve step or i	ndustria

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

#### To Section IV

The application comprises an excessive number of independent claims, some of which are directed to apparently distinct features not linked by a common inventive concept.

If the application is to be prosecuted, for instance as a European application, its subject-matter should be restricted to a single concept. For instance, the features of certain presently independent claims should be made the subject-matter of dependent claims if there is a basis for that in the original disclosure; or certain independent claims should be deleted from the present set of claims.

More concretely, the set of claims comprises:

- Method claim 1: it refers to three possible errors, at least one of them being used for alignment controlling:
- a) trunnion axis error;
- b) horizontal collimation error;
- c) total plumb error defined by two components PI and PII.
- Method claim 8: based on a horizontal orientation correction value depending on a transverse plumb error value. This relates to only one of the two components of claim 1, c), namely PI. Thus there is no use of the two components PI and PII of claim 1. Maybe this could be considered as a particular restriction of the method of claim 1, in which case the features of claim 8 pertaining to that restriction should be made the subject-matter of a dependent claim.
- Method claim 18: based on several specific steps (setting, pivoting, generating, automatically controlling) depending on different compass bearings, whereby no direct link to claim 1 or claim 8 is apparently present.
- Method claim 19: based on a vertical orientation correction value depending on a longitudinal plumb error value. This relates to only one of the two components of claim 1, c), namely PII. Thus there is no use of the two components PI and PII of claim 1. Maybe this could be considered as a particular restriction of the method of claim 1, in which case

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001018

the features of claim 19 pertaining to that restriction should be made the subject-matter of a dependent claim.

- Apparatus claim 28 directed to a surveying instrument suitable for the method of claim 8;
- Apparatus claim 37 directed to a surveying instrument suitable for the method of claim 19;
- Apparatus claim 40 directed to an error compensation system. This system compensates for two errors tilt error and error due to mechanical imperfections thus it is not linked to claim 1 dealing, as a minimum, with one error;
- Apparatus claim 58 directed to a surveying instrument comprising the error compensation system of claim 40.

#### To Section V

The closest prior art revealed by the International Search Report (ISR) appears to be D1 = US-A-6 138 367. It is considered, in the ISR, as a mere example of the general state of the art. It is analysed in the present description, p. 2, II. 9-15. D1 does not appear to disclose all the features a) through d) of claim 1, and consequently also not the more restrictive features of claims 8, 18, 19 and the corresponding apparatus claims. A system compensating for two errors, comprised (see claim 58) or not (see claim 40) in a surveying instrument, is also not disclosed in D1. Thus the present claims are considered novel over D1.

The other documents cited in the ISR, namely D2 = US-B1-6 421 360 and D3 = DE-A-100 52 150, are even less relevant. D2 is directed to a rotational constructional laser, i.e. not the kind of surveying instrument referred to in the present claims. D3 discloses a survey instrument with magnetic incremental coding means unrelated to any aspect of the present invention or inventions. Thus there appears no combination of D1 with either D2 or D3 which could render the subject-matter of the present claims obvious.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

. International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001018

#### To Section VII

The structure of present claim 1 is misleading. Since claim 1 is a method claim, one would expect that all clauses a) through d) each refer to a step of the method. In fact, a), b) and c) refer to types of errors, whereas only d) is a method step.